Appendix Table 1: Cohen's Kappa for interreader agreement of imaging features

MRI feature	Cohen's Kappa
Number of lesions	0.38
Growth pattern	0.55
Tumor location	0.29
Eye size	0.18
Enhancement choroid beneath	0.50
tumor	
Tumor homogeneity	0.37
Dominating shape of largest tumor	0.42
lesion	
Irregular/ill-defined tumor margins	0.48
Calcifications	0.29
Compactness of the entire mass	0.23
Enhancement anterior eye	0.74
segment	
Proportion contrast enhancing	0.40
tumor (CET)	
Proportion necrosis	0.36
Retinal detachment	0.68
Shallowness of the anterior eye	0.68
chamber	
Subretinal composition	0.60
Subretinal seeding	0.42
Vitreous hemorrhage	0
Vitreous seeding	0.5

## Appendix Table 2: Functional annotation of differentially expressed genes between MRI features

MRI Feature	Site	Comparison	Differential	No.DEGs	Functional annotation	FDR
			expression			
Tumor location	Amsterdam	Entire	Upregulated	220	Organelle fission	1.8E-7
		globe filled				
		vs. Greater				
		part of			Cell cycle process	2.5E-7
		tumor			DNA repair	2.1E-7
		behind	Downregulated	456	Sensory organ	4.4E-11
					development	
					Cell adhesion	1.5E-11
					Visual perception	1.5E-9
Tumor location	Amsterdam	Entire	Upregulated	111	Nuclear division	1.6E-18
		retina filled			Organelle fission	6.6E-18
		vs. Greater			Cell cycle process	1.8E-17
		part of	Downregulated	677	Sensory organ	2.8E-22
		tumor			development	
		behind			Cell adhesion	4.07E-19
					Visual perception	3.01E-17
					Regulation of cell motility	2.09E-16
Tumor location	Atlanta	Entire	Downregulated	25	Visual perception	2.37E-7
		retina filled				
		vs. Greater				
		part of				
		tumor				
		behind				
Virtuous seeding	Amsterdam	Outside	Upregulated	18	Extracellular matrix	1.99E-3
		3mm range			organization	
		vs. inside				
		3mm range				

## **Supplementary Materials**

## **Appendix Figure 1**

Association of imaging features with photoreceptorness gene expression. Plaque-shaped tumors (top) and tumor with irregular borders (bottom) showed lower photoreceptorness. Results were only found in the current cohort (validation set) and not in the previous cohort (test set).



## **Appendix Figure 2**

K-means clustering analysis of the differentially expressed genes amongst the MRI features based on the genes previously identified in the test cohort, but according to their expression in the validation cohort. Clustering for subretinal seeding (top) and vitreous seeding (bottom).



Eur Radiol (2023) Jansen RW, Roohollahi K, Uner OE et al